

Remarks

In response to the restriction requirement issued January 27, 2006, Applicants restrict prosecution of this application to the invention identified in the restriction requirement as Group I, claims 1-13 and 28.

Additionally, in response to the election requirement, Applicants elect the species identified as species A, the embodiment of Fig. 1. Claims 1-28 read on the elected embodiment.

Applicants traverse the election requirement on the grounds that the different figures do not all represent different embodiments of the invention. For example, Figs. 4a and 4b represent different modes that can be parts of the same embodiment. Also, the aspects of the invention shown in Figs. 2-4b could be part of the same embodiment and/or any one or more could be utilized with the system shown in Fig. 1 or in Fig. 5.

Applicants have amended the abstract to remove reference to the figure and to delete legal terminology. Applicants submit herewith on a separate sheet a clean copy of the amended abstract. In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection to the abstract.

If an interview would advance the prosecution of this case, Applicants respectfully urge the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The undersigned authorizes the Commissioner to charge fee insufficiency and credit overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 22-0261.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 7/27/06


Eric J. Franklin, Reg. No. 37,134
Attorney for Applicants
Venable LLP
575 Seventh Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: 202-344-4936